

Antimicrobial Agent	Disk Content	Interpretive Categories and Zone Diameter BPs (nearest whole mm)			Interpretive Categories and MIC BPs (µg/mL)			M100 Ed where BPs last listed/Comments	Rationale
		S	I	R	S	I	R		
Enterobacteriaceae									
Nalidixic acid	30 µg	≥ 19	14–18	≤ 13	≤ 16	–	≥ 32	M100-S26* Deleted for <i>Salmonella</i> spp. only	Nalidixic acid does not perform reliably in predicting susceptibility to fluoroquinolones that might be used for treatment of <i>Salmonella</i> infections. It has been shown to produce both false resistant and false susceptible results. ^{1,2}
Cephalothin (surrogate test for uncomplicated UTI)	30 µg	≥ 18	15–17	≤ 14	≤ 8	16	≥ 32	M100-S26	Cefazolin has been shown to be a more reliable surrogate than cephalothin for predicting results for oral cephalosporins that might be used for treatment of uncomplicated UTIs.
Ticarcillin	75 µg	≥ 20	15–19	≤ 14	≤ 16	32–64	≥ 128	M100-S20	When cefazolin MIC breakpoints were revised, these disk diffusion BPs were no longer valid. (Disk diffusion BPs to correlate with the new cefazolin MIC BPs have not yet been established.)
Cefazolin	30 µg	≥ 18	15–17	≤ 14	–	–	–		
Pseudomonas aeruginosa									
Cefoperazone	75 µg	≥ 21	16–20	≤ 15	≤ 16	32	≥ 64	M100-S21	These agents are no longer available or have limited indications for <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .
Cefotaxime	30 µg	≥ 23	15–22	≤ 14	≤ 8	16–32	≥ 64		
Ceftriaxone	30 µg	≥ 21	14–20	≤ 13	≤ 8	16–32	≥ 64		
Ceftizoxime	30 µg	≥ 20	15–19	≤ 14	≤ 8	16–32	≥ 64		
Moxalactam	30 µg	≥ 23	15–22	≤ 14	≤ 8	16–32	≥ 64		
Acinetobacter spp.									
Mecillinam	10 µg	≥ 15	12–14	≤ 11	≤ 8	16	≥ 32	M100-S26	
Ticarcillin	75 µg	≥ 20	15–19	≤ 14	≤ 16	32–64	≥ 128		
Staphylococcus spp.									
Oxacillin (<i>S. aureus</i> / <i>S. lugdunensis</i>)	1 µg	≥ 13	11–12	≤ 10	–	–	–	M100-S23	Oxacillin disk diffusion performance is inferior to that of cefoxitin for detection of <i>mecA</i> mediated oxacillin resistance.
Amoxicillin-clavulanate	20/10 µg	≥ 20	–	≤ 19	≤ 4/2	–	≥ 8/4	M100-S23	There are limited data available to demonstrate the predictive value of testing all these β-lactam agents against staphylococci. Consequently, susceptibility results for antistaphylococcal β-lactams other than penicillin and oxacillin (cefoxitin) are best determined by deducing results from testing penicillin and oxacillin (cefoxitin). An exception is for ceftaroline which must be tested if ceftaroline results are requested.
Ampicillin-sulbactam	10/10 µg	≥ 15	12–14	≤ 11	≤ 8/4	16/8	≥ 32/16		
Piperacillin-tazobactam	100/10 µg	≥ 18	–	≤ 17	≤ 8/4	–	≥ 16/4		
Ticarcillin-clavulanate	75/10 µg	≥ 23	–	≤ 22	≤ 8/2	–	≥ 16/2		
Cefamandole	30 µg	≥ 18	15–17	≤ 14	≤ 8	16	≥ 32		
Cefazolin	30 µg	≥ 18	15–17	≤ 14	≤ 8	16	≥ 32		
Cefepime	30 µg	≥ 18	15–17	≤ 14	≤ 8	16	≥ 32		
Cefmetazole	30 µg	≥ 16	13–15	≤ 12	≤ 16	32	≥ 64		
Cefonicid	30 µg	≥ 18	15–17	≤ 14	≤ 8	16	≥ 32		
Cefoperazone	75 µg	≥ 21	16–20	≤ 15	≤ 16	32	≥ 64		
Cefotaxime	30 µg	≥ 23	15–22	≤ 14	≤ 8	16–32	≥ 64		

Cefotetan	30 µg	≥ 16	13–15	≤ 12	≤ 16	32	≥ 64
Ceftazidime	30 µg	≥ 18	15–17	≤ 14	≤ 8	16	≥ 32
Ceftizoxime	30 µg	≥ 20	15–19	≤ 14	≤ 8	16–32	≥ 64
Ceftriaxone	30 µg	≥ 21	14–20	≤ 13	≤ 8	16–32	≥ 64
Cefuroxime (parenteral)	30 µg	≥ 18	15–17	≤ 14	≤ 8	16	≥ 32
Cephalothin	30 µg	≥ 18	15–17	≤ 14	≤ 8	16	≥ 32
Moxalactam	30 µg	≥ 23	15–22	≤ 14	≤ 8	16–32	≥ 64
Cefaclor	30 µg	≥ 18	15–17	≤ 14	≤ 8	16	≥ 32
Cefdinir	5 µg	≥ 20	17–19	≤ 16	≤ 1	2	≥ 4
Cefpodoxime	10 µg	≥ 21	18–20	≤ 17	≤ 2	4	≥ 8
Cefprozil	30 µg	≥ 18	15–17	≤ 14	≤ 8	16	≥ 32
Cefuroxime (oral)	30 µg	≥ 23	15–22	≤ 14	≤ 4	8–16	≥ 32
Loracarbef	30 µg	≥ 18	15–17	≤ 14	≤ 8	16	≥ 32
Doripenem	10 µg	≥ 30	–	–	≤ 0.5	–	–
Ertapenem	10 µg	≥ 19	16–18	≤ 15	≤ 2	4	≥ 8
Imipenem	10 µg	≥ 16	14–15	≤ 13	≤ 4	8	≥ 16
Meropenem	10 µg	≥ 16	14–15	≤ 13	≤ 4	8	≥ 16

Abbreviations: BP, breakpoints; Ed, edition; I, intermediate; MIC, minimal inhibitory concentration; R, resistant; S, susceptible; UTI, urinary tract infection

References:

1. Deak E, Skov R, Hindler JA, Humphries RM. 2015. Evaluation of Surrogate Disk Tests for Detection of Ciprofloxacin and Levofloxacin Resistance in Clinical Isolates of *Salmonella enterica*. *J Clin Microbiol* 53:3405-3410.
2. Skov R, Matuschek E, Sjölund-Karlsson M, Åhman J, Petersen A, Stegger M, Torpdahl M, Kahlmeter G. 2015. Development of a Pefloxacin Disk Diffusion Method for Detection of Fluoroquinolone-Resistant *Salmonella enterica*. *J Clin Microbiol* 53:3411-3417.