

2nd Edition



Laboratory Quality Control Based on Risk Management

This guideline provides recommendations based on risk management for laboratories to develop quality control plans tailored to the combination of measuring system, laboratory setting, and clinical application of the test.

A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.

Laboratory Quality Control Based on Risk Management

James H. Nichols, PhD, DABCC, FAACC Ellis Jacobs, PhD, DABCC, FAACC Deirdre Astin, MS, MLS(ASCP) William J. Castellani, MD Eddie Hutchison, MPH, MLS(ASCP)SCYM, PMP

Christine Krenc, MS Deanna Miller, MHA, MLS(ASCP), LSSGB Valerie L. Ng, PhD, MD Ann E. Snyder, MLS(ASCP) John Yundt-Pacheco

Abstract

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guideline EP23—*Laboratory Quality Control Based on Risk Management* provides recommendations based on risk management for laboratories to develop quality control plans (QCPs) tailored to the combination of measuring system, laboratory setting, and clinical application of the test. Regulatory requirements, information provided by the developer, information pertaining to the laboratory environment, and medical requirements for the test results are evaluated, using risk-management principles, to develop a QCP tailored to the combination of measuring system, laboratory environment, and clinical application. The effectiveness of the laboratory QCP is monitored to detect trends, identify corrective actions, and provide continual quality improvement opportunities. The advantages and limitations of various QC processes are discussed.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Laboratory Quality Control Based on Risk Management*. 2nd ed. CLSI guideline EP23 (ISBN 978-1-68440-200-7 [Print]; ISBN 978-1-68440-201-4 [Electronic]). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, USA, 2023.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at www.clsi.org.

If you or your organization is not a member and would like to become one, or to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at:

P: +1.610.688.0100 **F:** +1.610.688.0700 **E:** customerservice@clsi.org **W:** www.clsi.org



Copyright ©2023 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, derivative product, or other material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to permissions@clsi.org.

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedures manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail permissions@clsi.org.

Suggested Citation

CLSI. *Laboratory Quality Control Based on Risk Management*. 2nd ed. CLSI guideline EP23⁴⁴. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2023.

Previous Editions: January 2010, October 2011

EP23-Ed2

.

ISBN 978-1-68440-200-7 (Print) ISBN 978-1-68440-201-4 (Electronic) ISSN 1558-6502 (Print) ISSN 2162-2914 (Electronic)

Volume 43, Number 17

Contents

| Abst | ract |
|------|--|
| Com | mittee Membership |
| Fore | vordvi |
| Chap | ter 1: Introduction |
| | 1.1 Scope |
| | 1.2 Background |
| | 1.3 Standard Precautions |
| | 1.4 Terminology. |
| Chap | ter 2: Path of Workflow |
| | 2.1 Process Flow Chart |
| | 2.2 Overview of the Quality Control Plan |
| | 2.3 Risk Management |
| | 2.4 Process Mapping1 |
| Chap | ter 3: Quality Control Toolbox |
| | 3.1 Analysis of Quality Control Samples |
| | 3.2 Quality Control Built Into the Measuring System |
| | 3.3 Quality Control Processes Using Patient Test Results |
| Chap | ter 4: Information Gathering for Risk Assessment |
| | 4.1 Clinical Application Information |
| | 4.2 Regulatory and Accreditation Requirements |
| | 4.3 Measuring System Information |
| | 4.4 Laboratory Information |
| Chap | ter 5: Developing the Quality Control Plan |
| | 51/Hazard Identification |
| | 5.2 Risk Estimation |
| | 5.3 Risk Evaluation |
| | 5.4 Risk Control |
| | 5.5 The Laboratory's Quality Control Plan |
| | 5.6 Examples of Laboratory Risk Assessment |
| Cha | ter 6: Postimplementation Monitoring of the Quality Control Plan |
| | 6.1 Evaluation of the Effectiveness of the Laboratory Quality Control Plan |
| | 6.2 Investigating Unacceptable Performance and Corrective Action4 |
| | |

. .

Contents (Continued)

| e | er 8: Supplemental Information | 53 |
|---|---|-----|
| | References | .54 |
| , | Appendix A. Potential Sources of Error (Failure Mode) | .58 |
| | Appendix B. Example Checklist for Establishing a Quality Control Plan Based on Risk Management | .63 |
| | Appendix C. Example Quality Control Plan: CLSI Document M22–Exempt Microbiology Media | .69 |
| , | Appendix D. Example Quality Control Plan: Noninstrumented Unit-Use Device – Shiga Toxin | .80 |
| | Appendix E. Example Quality Control Plan: Instrumented Unit-Use System — Fetal Fibronectin | .87 |
| , | Appendix F. Example Quality Assurance Review and Quality Control Plan Assessment Form | 106 |
| | Appendix G. Example of Failure Investigation and Corrective Action for Glucose Measurement on an Automated Measuring System | 108 |
| | The Quality Management System Approach | 112 |

Foreword

This guideline presumes that the type of measuring system, testing personnel, and location where the test will be performed were all considered before the measuring system was selected. Although the developer is responsible for design quality of its measuring system and reagents, the laboratory and, ultimately, the laboratory director are accountable for the quality of test results. To establish effective QC, laboratories should gather and analyze an array of information (regulatory and accreditation requirements, developer-provided information, the laboratory's environment, and the medical applications of tests performed) through a risk-assessment (RA) process. This process identifies potential weaknesses in the measuring system and test environment that are weighed against the probability for error, the effectiveness of control processes built into the measuring system, and the laboratory's assessment of risk when the clinical use of a laboratory result is considered. This guideline provides recommendations to laboratories for establishing a quality control plan (QCP). Once developed, the QCP is monitored for effectiveness. It is modified when a laboratory process or procedure is revised per regulatory or accreditation requirements and when unanticipated failure modes or underestimated risks of error are discovered. When sufficient objective data demonstrate reliable performance, some control procedures might no longer be needed. The advantages and limitations of a variety of QC measures are discussed to help the laboratory develop a QCP that is appropriate for its measuring system and clinical environment.

This guideline supports the development of an individualized quality control plan (IQCP) under Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments requirements¹ and provides guidance for implementing risk management. Compliance with EP23 might not satisfy the requirements of all regulatory, accreditation, or certification organizations. Laboratories need to comply with all applicable regulatory and accreditation requirements when developing QCPs.

Overview of Changes

This guideline replaces the previous edition of the approved guideline, ER23-A published in 2011. Several changes were made in this edition, including:

- Aligning EP23 with international standards^{2,3} and an IQCP
- Incorporating detectability in the RA
- Replacing the hypothetical "glucose concentration measurement on an automated measuring system" example with a real-world example of a QCP for a noninstrumented single-use device, instrumented single-use device, and exempt microbiological media

NOTE: The content of this guideline is supported by the CLSI consensus process and does not necessarily reflect the views of any single individual or organization.

KEY WORDS

analyte

individualized quality control plan measurand quality assessment quality control quality control plan risk assessment

risk management

Chapter 1 Introduction

Laboratory Quality Control Based on Risk Management

1 Introduction

1.1 Scope

This guideline is intended for global use in laboratories to help determine QC procedures that are appropriate and effective for the test being performed. Developers will also find it useful for understanding laboratory QC requirements and how they will be assessed. The use of risk management is broadly applicable to all processes in the laboratory and can be used beyond the focus of QC. This guideline describes good laboratory practice for developing and maintaining a quality control plan (QCP) for medical laboratory testing using internationally recognized risk-management principles. An individual QCP should be established, maintained, and modified as needed for each measuring system. The QCP is based on the performance required for the intended medical application of the test results. Risk mitigation information obtained from the developer and identified by the laboratory, applicable regulatory and accreditation requirements, and the individual health care and laboratory setting are considered in the development of a QCP.

This guideline supports the development of an individualized quality control plan (IQCP) under Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments requirements¹ and provides guidance for implementing risk management. This guideline might not satisfy the requirements of all regulatory, accreditation, or certification organizations. Laboratories need to comply with all applicable requirements when developing QCPs.

1.2 Background

Regular performance of intralaboratory QC has been invaluable in ensuring that measuring systems are performing as expected. Existing highly reliable measuring systems, however, demonstrated that conventional intralaboratory QC practices were seeningly excessive (eg, exempt microbiology media, automated systems for microbiology organism identification, or antimicrobial susceptibility testing). The evolution and availability of unit-use test devices (eg, single-use device and/or cassette that contains reagent necessary for performing one test), some with an accompanying measuring system containing integrated controls, measuring system function checks, and/or electronic system and calibration checks additionally identified the need for a different QC process; by design, these unit-use devices did not permit simultaneous testing of intralaboratory control(s) and a patient sample. A risk-assessment (RA) approach incorporates the unique features and performance of each of these measuring systems in individual laboratories, formulated into IQCPs, with the original goal of conventional QC (ie, ensuring reliable and accurate results).

.3 Standard Precautions

Because it is often impossible to know what isolates or specimens might be infectious, all patient and laboratory specimens are treated as infectious and handled according to "standard precautions." Standard precautions are guidelines that combine the major features of "universal precautions and body substance isolation" practices. Standard precautions cover the transmission of all known infectious agents and thus are more comprehensive than universal precautions, which are intended to apply only to transmission of bloodborne pathogens. Published guidelines are available that discuss the daily operations of diagnostic medicine in humans and animals while encouraging a culture of safety in the laboratory.⁴ For specific precautions for preventing the laboratory transmission of all known infectious diseases, refer to CLSI document M29.⁵

Developing the Quality Control Plan

The overall process for assessing risk and establishing a QCP is shown schematically in Figure 6. The subchapters noted in the flow chart correspond to upcoming subchapters in this chapter.



Abbreviations: QC, quality control; QCP, quality control plan; RA, risk assessme

^a Four basic symbols are used in this process flow chart, eval (signifies the beginning or end of a process), arrow (connects process activities), box (designates process activities), diamond (includes a question with alternative "Yes" and "No" responses).

Figure 6. RA Flow Chart^a

Hazard Identification

The first step in RA is to identify potential risks and their causes. The laboratory should map the total testing process in detail (see Subchapter 2.4 for more information on process mapping) and collect the information discussed in Chapter 4. This information is used to identify potential failure modes in the testing process that can affect patient care and enable the laboratory to identify appropriate QC points to prevent and/or detect the failures.

5

Appendix G. (Continued)

Table G2 refers to the automated QC that detects reagent deterioration based on the reagent blank absorbance and the laboratory's actions to manage open-bottle stability.

Table G2. Risk Assessment for Reagent Deterioration

| Targeted Failure Mode, hazard | Measuring System Feature or Recommended Action | Known Limitations of Feature or Recommended Action | QC Process Effective? | QCP Actions Necessary to Handle Known Limitations | Residual Risk Acceptable? (Yes/No) |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| Incorrect results caused by use of deteriorated reagents | Discoloration of reagent occurs with deterioration and is detected by measurement of the absorbance of the reagent blank. Periodic QC sample measurement verifies system performance. | Does not detect reagent storage or expiration | Partial^a Requires additional QC processes to monitor reagent storage and expiration | Manufactures recommendation: Automated reagent blank measurement Laboratory-implemented QC processes: Monitor bar-coded reagent expiration dates and open- bottle stability. Evalbate reagent performance on receipt of shipments. Monitor storage conditions or use continuous temperature monitoring. Analyze QC samples daily in outpatient clinic and once every 3 days in hospital laboratory (for first 3 months of system use). | Yes |

Abbreviations: QC, quality control; QCP, quality control plan. ^a The risk analysis was partially modified.



PRINT ISBN 978-1-68440-200-7 ELECTRONIC ISBN 978-1-68440-201-4 EP23-Ed2